

# Statistical hypotheses (true positive, false negative etc...) and errors types

Franck Jeannot

Montréal, Canada, Juillet 2018, S544, v1.1

---

## Abstract

A review of the confusing terms true positive, true negative, false positive, false negative and associated Type I and Type II errors used in several fields like cybersecurity and machine-learning.

*Keywords:* Statistical Hypotheses, Type I error (False positive), Type II error (False negative), null hypothesis significance testing (NHST)

---

## 1. Statistical Hypotheses and errors types matrix

Table of error types		Condition. Null Hypothesis is ...	
		<b>TRUE</b> (condition absent)	<b>FALSE</b> (condition present)
<b>Decision about null Hypothesis (test outcome)</b>	(negative test result)	True Negative	<b>Type II error</b> False Negative
	<b>Reject</b> (positive test result)	<b>Type I error</b> False positive	True positive

FIGURE (1): *Synthesis of the statistical outcomes and corresponding error types*

## 2. Introduction

The basic thing to remember is that the “**positive/negative**” part relates to the **test results** while the “**true/false**” is the **link from the test results to the real input and answers to the question if the decision was correct**.

## 3. Type I and Type II errors

« *A type I error is to falsely infer the existence of something that is not there, while a type II error is to falsely infer the absence of something that is* ». <sup>1</sup> A type I error occurs when the null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) is true, but is rejected. It is asserting something that is absent, a false hit. A type I error may be likened to a so-called false positive (a result that indicates that a given condition is present when it actually is not present). A type II error occurs when the null hypothesis is false, but erroneously fails to be rejected. It is failing to assert what is present, a miss.

## 4. Examples

### 4.1. Malware

- A **true positive** is recognized if real malware was detected as malware.
- A **false positive** occurs if the test of malware was positive, i.e., detected malware, but the real file is NOT a malware. That is, the (positive) test result was false.
- A **true negative** is the correct situation in which “no malware” was detected as “no malware”.
- A **false negative** is something like a “Missed SPAM” in which malware came in but was not recognized as that. <sup>2</sup>

DNH : Decision about Null hypothesis

Table of error types		Condition (Null Hypothesis is ...)	
		TRUE (condition Absent)	FALSE (condition present)
DNH	(negative test result)	<b>true negative</b> is the correct situation in which “no malware” was detected as “no malware”.	<b>Type II error</b> <b>false negative</b> is something like a “Missed SPAM” in which malware came in but was not recognized as that
	Reject as malware (positive test result)	<b>Type I error</b> <b>false positive</b> detected malware, but the real file is NOT a malware	<b>true positive</b> is recognized if real malware was detected as malware

---

1. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Type\\_I\\_and\\_type\\_II\\_errors](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Type_I_and_type_II_errors)

2. <https://blog.webernetz.net/at-a-glance-false-positive-etc/>

#### 4.2. Spam

- A true positive : a spam email was correctly identified as spam
- A true negative : a legitimate email was not identified as spam
- A false positive : a legitimate email was wrongly identified as spam
- A false negative : a spam email was identified as spam (spam got through)<sup>3</sup>

#### 4.3. Pregnancy

- A true positive : a person we told is pregnant that really was.
- A true negative : a person we told is not pregnant, and really wasn't.
- A false negative : a person we told is not pregnant, though they really were.
- A false positive : a person we told is pregnant, though they weren't.

#### 4.4. IDS and IPS

- A true positive occurs when an IDS or IPS correctly identifies malicious traffic as malicious. For instance, a true positive occurs when a virus or an attack is identified and the action is taken.
- A true negative occurs when an IDS or IPS correctly identifies harmless traffic as harmless. For example, a true negative occurs when an administrator correctly enters a password or when HTTP traffic is sent to a web server.
- A false negative occurs when an IDS or IPS does not identify malicious traffic that enters the network.
- A false positive occurs when an IDS or IPS identifies nonmalicious traffic as malicious.<sup>4</sup>

### 5. Sensitivity and specificity

**Sensitivity** and **specificity** are statistical measures of the performance of a binary classification test.<sup>5</sup>

### 6. Confusion matrix

A specific table layout that allows visualization of the performance of an algorithm that uses **sensitivity** and **specificity**.<sup>6</sup>

---

3. <https://www.cyren.com/blog/articles/the-micro-guide-to-spam-terminology-false-positives-false-negatives-and-true-stuff-1219>

4. Ref Syngress CISSP Study guide, 3rd ed, chap. 8, IDS and IPS Event types, pp 363-364

5. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sensitivity\\_and\\_specificity](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sensitivity_and_specificity)

6. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Confusion\\_matrix](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Confusion_matrix)

## 7. Alerting and Risk Vs Quality

The quality of an alert process is improved by reducing false positives. The risk of an alert process is decreased by reducing false negatives.<sup>7</sup>

## 8. History and etymology

*Perezgonzalez, Jose D*, in 2015, described [1] the **history** and also **controversy**<sup>8</sup> of the *null hypothesis significance testing* (**NHST**). The discussions in the 1928-1935 period between, Jerzy NEYMAN [2] (1894–1981), Egon PEARSON [3] (1895–1980) and British statistician Sir Ronald Aylmer FISHER (1890–1962) are the main sources of the  $H_0$  notation usage and of the terms **errors of type I**, **errors of type II** (Neyman-Pearson) and *null hypothesis* term.

## Références

- [1] J. D. Perezgonzalez, [Fisher, neyman-pearson or nhst ? a tutorial for teaching data testing](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00223/full), *Frontiers in Psychology* 6 (2015) 223. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00223. URL <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00223/full>
- [2] J. Neyman, E. S. Pearson, The testing of statistical hypotheses in relation to probabilities a priori 29 (1933) 492 – 510.
- [3] E. Pearson, J. Neyman, [On the problem of two samples](https://books.google.ca/books?id=JOZIAQAAIAAJ), Imprimerie de l’université, 1930. URL <https://books.google.ca/books?id=JOZIAQAAIAAJ>

---

7. <https://www.arcriskandcompliance.com/the-science-behind-false-positive-tuning-2/>

8. [https://www.phil.vt.edu/dmayo/personal\\_website/Neyman-1956.pdf](https://www.phil.vt.edu/dmayo/personal_website/Neyman-1956.pdf)